**Campus Sustainability Fund**

**Committee Meeting Agenda**

**April 20, 2016 – 5:00 - 6:00PM, Gerberding 142**

|  |
| --- |
| **Attendees** |
| **CSF Committee: Sierramatice, Maika, Carolyn, Keanu, Lauren, Brian**  |
| **Absent: Colin, Veronica** |
| **Guests:** Molly |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Agenda** |  |  |
| **Time** | **Item** | **Presenter** |
| 1 min | Approve 4/13/16 Minutes and 4/20/16 Agenda | Carolyn |
| 5 min | Review response from Teach In | Committee |
| 10 min | Brainstorm renaming small projects  | Committee |
| 10 min | Discuss thoughts on endorsing ASUW candidates  | Committee |
| 30 min | Begin bylaw revisions  | Committee  |
| 4 min | Outreach Updates, Reminders, Wrap up, and Adjourn  | Carolyn |

Small Projects

* Approved to rename “mini-grants”
* Will update bylaws

Endorsing ASUW Candidates

* Are there other SAF units that endorse ASUW tickets?
* Okay with the idea
* Is there conflict of interest since we have ASUW appointed
* What about fairness in considering all tickets?
* Do we have time and capacity to do this ?
* Why would we support one ticket over the other?
	+ They stress different values
* Keanu likes the idea and thinks it can be valuable, but it’s understandable that since we have ASUW appointees and
* Not comfortable with it
* Indifferent
* **MOTION** to not endorse ASUW tickets: 4 approve, 2 abstain

Bylaw Review

* In Mission: change create to “promote” , and “lessen” to reduce”
* 1.2 :Ex-Officios - including underrepresented groups
	+ Would be valuable to have key RSO student representation
	+ Would it be worth reaching out to groups to ask if they would be interested in having a represented ex-officio
	+ Do we want to add a position for it/change bylaws or reach out to the RSO’s first?
	+ We haven’t defined what underrepresented means?
		- Will one group be prioritized over another ?
	+ Like the idea, but don’t want it to be exclusionary based on our definition
	+ Can reach out to groups more rather than changing bylaws
	+ Maybe being more specific in including language in encouraging diverse candidates to apply for committee positions
* Outreach to more RSO’s to encourage them to come
	+ There are a lot of RSO’s out there, how do we reach all?
	+ Agree that 1+ shouldn’t be in normal ex-officio category. It should be in an outreach category.
* 1.3
	+ Brian suggest increasing hours allocation up from 3 per month (outside of meeting time)
	+ Change “at least three hours” to “an average of”
	+ Change “ on a quarterly basis” to “as requested” for reporting back to appointing bodies
	+ Change “his/her” to “their” to be more inclusive
	+ Change “lessen” to “reduce”
* 1.4- Officers
	+ Should the stipend increase? Seems like a lot of work for $1000. We can discuss when Colin gets back.
* 1.5 - Terms of Office
	+ Remove “first day starting fall quarter”, from first bullet
* 1.5.1- Interim Advisory Committee
	+ Get two committees together and see who can be available during the summer.
	+ If people do begin in the summer, we may need to
	+ Changing summer to being able to vote- table until COlin returns, but should revisit.
	+ Not having a voting interim committee hamstrings operations mid-summer

**MOTION**- to adjourn