**Campus Sustainability Fund**

**Committee Meeting Agenda**

**May 18, 2016 – 5:00 - 6:00PM, Gerberding 142**

|  |
| --- |
| **Attendees** |
| **CSF Committee:** Colin, Maika, Lauren, Brian, Keanu |
| **Absent:** Carolyn, Sierramatice |
| **Guests:** Molly, Chris |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Agenda** |  |  |
| **Time** | **Item** | **Presenter** |
| 2 min | Approve 5/18/16 Agenda and 5/11/16 Minutes | Colin |
| 50 min | Discuss Full Project Proposals | Committee |
| 8 min | Outreach Updates, Reminders, Penguins?, and Adjourn | Colin |

**Motion:** Approve 5/18/16 Agenda – APPROVED

**Motion:** Approve 5/11/16 Minutes – APPROVED

**Greenhouse:**

* Seems in direct violation of our mission, there are no students involved
* But this is based off of a previously approved project that was initiated by students
* It ranked low on student involvement
* They have given a good faith commitment to involve students
* If we support it, does it become large project, so can we pull from large project fund?
  + Up to us to decide
* If we decide not to move forward we can rescind funds to use on future projects
* He’s been actively been pursuing our suggestions for student involvement since his presentation
* This currently is not a student led project
* We can approve project contingent upon meeting certain student involvement goal
* Is there capacity for student to take the lead at this point? Or is it up to engineering firms at this point
* Are we funding projects that the UW should be funding in general
* How urgent is this? If it got pushed off to next funding cycle would that be too late?
  + Probably
* There are no external sources of funding
* Questions to ask:
  + Need very specific plan on undergrad and grad involvement.
  + Deadline for decision?

**SER-UW Improvements**

* Initial concern for tuition funding. Probably need to discuss this topic further. Funding another student’s tuition with student fees is not within our mission/goals/spirit.
* Considering such a large commitment in personnel, might break down into 1 position as opposed to 2, depending on funds available.
* Some of this and the UW Farms proposal contains research, but they do have actionable components
* The benchmark tends to be the actionable component. It’s not for graduate projects, etc. But if it’s associated with a specific project of fulfilling goals, it can fall within the scope.
* Other than tuition, it’s a great project and they are developing their resources the best they can.

**Electronic Waste**

* The biggest comment was re: ipads.
* They also asked for stipend, which was a bit hefty
* Would need to verify student status
* Would be find funding material goods, could have a big impact, but should express concerns
* Contingency: No stipend, looking for refurbished ipads (price them)

**Aquaculture Phase II**

* It’s super exciting that they have found a way to grow something and actually get shellfish in the campus
* No tuition
* Concern with distance from campus
* Has a lot of potential for educational use for other classes
* Would fall under license of partner groups
* Question: Please provide grad student breakdown (hourly rate, etc)

**Edible Garden**

* Constructions high, but bed size looks significant
* Haven’t sought donations for materials. Would like to see buy-in from elsewhere.
* Question :have you explored alternative funding sources/donations?

**UW Farm**

* Is this a position that could be brought down to 10 hours and unpaid
* We’ve funded the farm on a lot of projects
* Feels like we’re funding farm on outreach and development, that are a piece of projects we have funded

**ASUW Food Bulk Buying**

* Send revised budget for capital projects- make sure that ASUW can fund the food portion

**Motion**: Adjourn - APPROVED