

Campus Sustainability Fund

Committee Meeting Agenda

May 12, 2014 - 4:30pm - Husky Union Building 238

Agenda		
Time	Item	Presenter
4:30pm	Approve 5/5/14 Minutes	Elizabeth
4:31pm	Approve 5/12/14 Agenda	Elizabeth
4:32pm	Update: CSF 5-Year Celebration	Graham
4:37pm	Discuss Second Round Project Proposals	Committee
5:27pm	Wrap Up, Next Week's Agenda, Adjourn	Elizabeth

- 1) Approve 5/5/14 Minutes—APPROVED
- 2) Approve 5/12/14 Agenda—APPROVED
- 3) Update: CSF 5-Year Celebration
 - a) Talking about who will be recognized...shhh....
- 4) Discuss Second Round Project Proposals
 - a) Yesler Swamp
 - i) Presentation raised a lot of questions, but these answers seemed to clarify things a lot
 - ii) Little disappointed that \$12,000 is for permitting and permitting-related things, instead of some physical, tangible effect
 - (1) But feel like it wouldn't be as much because the project they are basing it on is a LOT bigger
 - iii) Might be more responsible of us to give it in stages, due to the time scale of the project
 - (1) Could give them just this year's money, but then we would give this project to next year's committee
 - (2) Or we could just give money for the feasibility study, which is required of large projects in our bylaws anyway—feel more comfortable with this
 - iv) Answers to the contingency plan for delays and less money is not specific. If we just fund the feasibility study, all this would be ironed out
 - (1) Could "fund" the permitting process too, just in case. If it doesn't work out, it would be written off as a "loss"
 - (2) Also, preparation for the rest is rather vague, so figuring everything out would be beneficial for everyone.
 - (3) Ask in feasibility study to acquire any permits that require a long lead time
 - (4) We are NOT rejecting the other part of the proposal, but we are just making sure they DO know what they are doing, obtain commitments from their partners.

- b) Sustainable Stormwaters
 - i) Question 6: identify which ones will be worked on by the RSO, coordinator, or both...still poses confusion on what
 - ii) Seems like they are training students how to fish, not just giving the fish
 - (1) Although by giving the hotspot map and the designs seem to be giving the fish anyway....
 - iii) By adding the RSO bit and the water testing seemed to make it more confusing...though the job of the coordinator seems to be accurate and helpful
 - (1) Holes can be poked into it, but would like to see it come to fruition
 - (2) Though if this helps them take off better...
 - (3) Great step forward in this direction! But becomes confusing who is doing the work, who is getting paid to do the same thing, etc.
 - (a) Seems like too much overlap between the RSO and the coordinator
 - (b) Suspect language around RSO is just jargon for student involvement
- c) Digital Apiary
 - i) Still waiting for answers to our questions...
- 5) Based on current feelings, not all of our money will be used up.
 - a) If we leave money dangling, it is not necessarily the best idea, because it is NOT that the desire is less, just that we want to use the money in the best, least wasteful way possible
 - b) but we could do a third call during the summer, and thus we use the money up
 - c) or vote to put the money in the large projects
- 6) Wrap up, Next week's Agenda
 - a) We will for sure vote next week!! Can't leave projects waiting too long
- 7) Adjourn
 - a) ~5:25 PM~
 - b) Ya'll better be there next week!!!! ☺